

Friday, June 29. 2007

EAL 4+ RHEL5 and Solaris 10 TX - Comparision of the evaluation targets

Jim Laurent wrote an nice comparisiion of the EAL 4+ evaluation targets between RHEL 5 and Solaris 10 with Trusted Extensions. It look like, that IBM/Red Hat leaved out most of the difficult parts. Perhaps out of technical reasons, perhaps to be a few month faster for a marketing stunt at the price of having an meaningless certification, as it excludes many standard parts of a modern Linux implementation (no desktop, no swapable disks, no networked trusted printing, but it read your self).

Posted by Joerg Moellenkamp in English, Solaris at 21:19

Hi
You have remote desktop.
Its not good practice to allow USB disk on a server in a datacenter.
We are talking about datacenter solutions and security policies. Not a user desktop in first hand.
I think it is a meaningfull certification that you can use as a ground for a security policy in a datacenter.
And you have it for system p, x, i and z. All the stack.
Anonymous on Dec 17 2007, 14:56

1. Was the remote desktop part of the certification ?
 2. The Client was certified as well. But without even the most basic desktop functionalities
 3. The certification of Redhat doesn't look as far fetching as the certification of Trusted Solaris or the Trusted Extensions (after the upcoming certification in the LSPP)
- Anonymous on Dec 18 2007, 20:48